1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 2 AT SEATTLE 3 4 Case No. 2:19-CV-00290-RSL BRUCE CORKER d/b/a RANCHO ALOHA; COLEHOUR BONDERA and MELANIE 5 BONDERA, husband and wife d/b/a DECLARATION OF NATHAN T. PAINE KANALANI OHANA FARM; ROBERT IN SUPPORT PLAINTIFFS' MOTION 6 SMITH and CECELIA SMITH, husband and FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, wife d/b/a SMITHFARMS, and SMITHFARMS, REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, 7 LLC on behalf of themselves and others AND SERVICE AWARDS similarly situated, 8 The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik Plaintiff, 9 v. 10 COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a 11 Washington corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; HAWAIIAN 12 ISLES KONA COFFEE, LTD., LLC, a Hawaiian limited liability company; COST 13 PLUS/WORLD MARKET, a subsidiary of BED BATH & BEYOND, a New York corporation; 14 BCC ASSETS, LLC d/b/a BOYER'S COFFEE COMPANY, INC., a Colorado corporation; 15 L&K COFFEE CO. LLC, a Michigan limited liability company; MULVADI 16 CORPORATION, a Hawaii corporation; COPPER MOON COFFEE, LLC, an Indiana 17 limited liability company; GOLD COFFEE ROASTERS, INC., a Delaware corporation; 18 CAMERON'S COFFEE AND DISTRIBUTION COMPANY, a Minnesota corporation; PACIFIC 19 COFFEE, INC., a Hawaii corporation; THE KROGER CO., an Ohio corporation; 20 WALMART INC., a Delaware corporation; BED BATH & BEYOND INC., a New York 21 corporation; ALBERTSONS COMPANIES INC., a Delaware Corporation; SAFEWAY 22 INC., a Delaware Corporation; MNS LTD., a Hawaii Corporation; THE TJX COMPANIES 23 d/b/a T.J. MAXX, a Delaware Corporation; MARSHALLS OF MA, INC. d/b/a 24 MARSHALLS, a Massachusetts corporation; SPROUTS FARMERS MARKET, INC. a 25 Delaware corporation; COSTA RICAN GOLD COFFEE CO., INC., a Florida Corporation; and 26 KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL

DECLARATION OF NATHAN T. PAINE - 1 Case No. 2:19-cv-00290-RSL 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, Washington 98104 Main: (206) 223 1313 Fax: (206) 682 7100

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

2.5

26

KEVIN KIHNKE,	an	individual,

Defendants.

I, Nathan T. Paine, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Karr Tuttle Campbell ("KTC"). I am Plaintiffs' counsel of record in this litigation, along with counsel from Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein ("LCHB"). I am a member in good standing of the bar of Washington. I respectfully submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Service Awards. Except as otherwise noted, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could testify competently to them if called upon to do so.
- 2. I have been involved in all aspects of this litigation since its pre-filing investigation and filing of initial complaint in February 2019.

I. KTC's Work in this Matter

- 3. KTC is one of Seattle's oldest law firms with a diverse practice that includes a robust commercial litigation group involving intellectual property, asset recovery, class action litigation, employment law, and other complex commercial matters. A copy of the firm's resume was filed with my declaration in support of preliminary approval, at Dkt. 395-1.
- 4. My former partner Paul Richard Brown and I, along with other KTC attorneys and staff, were exclusively responsible for all the pre-suit investigation into potential claims that authentic Kona coffee growers might have against the manufacturers and retailers of coffee products that falsely designated Kona as the origin of the coffee. The pre-suit investigation was expansive yet meticulous, and included the following:
- a. Multiple meetings with Kona coffee farmers, processors, potential witnesses and industry insiders in Hawaii to understand the unique Kona coffee industry, coffee farming and processing practices, the universal harm being inflicted on the farmers, the

1	commonality between the farmers, and any potential claims and remedies that might be available		
2	to the farmers;		
3	b. Multiple visits to various Kona coffee farms and processing facilities		
4	throughout the Kona region;		
5	c. Extensive lay and potential expert witness interviews;		
6	d. Interviews of potential scientific experts to determine the available science		
7	that might be employed to identify the origin of the coffee beans contained in a retail coffee		
8	product with Kona on the label;		
9	e. Collection of over 150 samples of Kona coffee beans grown on farms		
10	within the Kona region;		
11	f. Retention of various experts across multiple fields to assist in our pre-suit		
12	investigation at considerable expense to KTC;		
13	g. Research of laws and regulations concerning the production, distribution,		
14	sale, and labeling of Kona coffee products;		
15	h. Legal research into potential causes of action and remedies potentially		
16	available to the Kona coffee farmers;		
17	i. Identification and research into Kona coffee products sold within the		
18	continental United States and then the collection of the same for potential testing to determine		
19	whether the labels of the products accurately identified Kona as the origin of the coffee beans.		
20	5. The information learned throughout the course of the extensive pre-suit		
21	investigation culminated in a 65-page complaint for a proposed class of over 600 Kona coffee		
22	farms, most operating only small farms of three to five acres on average, bringing Lanham Act		
23	claims against nineteen different defendants, some of whom are the largest most sophisticated		
24	corporations in the world. This was only made possible by the tremendous amount of time,		
25			
26	Karr Tuttle Campbel		
	NAKK TUTTLE CAMPBEL		

costs, and effort that KTC, Mr. Brown and I were willing to commit to help these Kona coffee farmers who otherwise did not have the resources or the means to develop the case.

- 6. Shortly after filing suit in February 2019, KTC also brought aboard attorneys from LCHB to assist in the prosecution of the case. We identified LCHB primarily for their expertise in the litigation and certification of complex class actions, including cases requiring the modelling of economic damages on a class-wide basis. As Jason Lichtman explains in his declaration, LCHB has extensive experience in litigating issues involving class-wide damages in complex consumer class actions.
- 7. My previous declarations explained that KTC, along with co-Class Counsel Leiff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, have actively litigated this case since its inception in February 2019. At the time, this Court had adjudicated motions to dismiss filed by all defendants (Dkt. 100, 106, 107), a motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 121), a motion to strike (Dkt. 179), several motions to compel or for a protective order (Dkt. 180, 188, 206, 211, 222, 227, 252, 257, 294, 300, 304, 317, 330, 355, 372), a motion for sanctions (Dkt. 319), and several motions to amend the pleadings to add new defendants (Dkt. 71, 268, 344). The pace of activity has not relented. Since then, Plaintiffs have filed additional motions, including a motion for summary judgment and motions to exclude certain expert witnesses, taken and defended multiple expert depositions, and worked with numerous expert witnesses regarding their reports and expert testimony.
- 8. Discovery has been extensive and difficult. This case initially involved 19 separate defendants. That meant that every element of discovery—issuing of requests, analyzing responses, initiating meet and confers, resolving disputes, moving to compel where no resolution was possible, receiving, reviewing, and analyzing documents and information, formulating and serving follow-up requests, meeting and conferring over those, etc. —was multiplied by 19 and then by 22, with the addition of new defendants. Plaintiffs served discovery and received

-4-

KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, Washington 98104

DECLARATION OF NATHAN T. PAINE - 4 Case No. 2:19-cv-00290-RSL

26

responses and documents from every defendant other than Copper Moon and Cost Plus (who each entered into early litigation stays, but who produced information for mediation purposes).

- 9. This case also involved Electronically Stored Information (ESI). Plaintiffs negotiated search terms with, and received ESI from, most of the 19 defendants. In total, Plaintiffs have served 781 requests for production and 177 interrogatories. Plaintiffs have received and reviewed more than 106,000 documents including 341,000 pages (including large spreadsheets of data). Plaintiffs have also taken twelve depositions, including two experts.
- 10. Defensive discovery has also required extensive effort. The named plaintiffs have responded to 598 requests for production, 271 interrogatories, and 514 requests for admission. Plaintiffs have produced more than 58,027 documents together with 114,087 pages. Plaintiffs production includes both paper documents gathered by hand (with in-person assistance from Mr. Brown, myself and others from LCHB at the plaintiffs' farms), which were collated and ESI. Each of the five named plaintiffs has sat for a full-day deposition, which required Paul and I to travel to Kona when Hawaii was in the midst of a mandated lockdown due to the pandemic. Since the time of my last fee declaration, multiple additional depositions have taken place.
- 11. The parties also engaged in extensive third-party discovery, collectively serving 52 subpoenas, which have yielded 7,428 documents and more than 123,000 pages.
- 12. Attorneys from KTC (principally Paul Richard Brown, Dan Hagen, Josh Howard, Mark Bailey and I) have played a significant and active role in virtually every aspect of this case, including:
- a. Authored or edited briefs and motions that have been presented in the litigation to date, including oppositions to motions to dismiss and discovery motions;
- b. drafted and propounding dozens of requests for production, interrogatories, and requests for admissions;
 - c. oversaw the production of tens of thousands of documents;

1	d. reviewed hundreds of thousands of documents produced by defendants;		
2	e. prepared for and conducted five full-day depositions, all occurring during		
3	the pandemic presenting its own unique challenge;		
4	f. assisted with the preparation of the depositions of five named plaintiffs,		
5	and then defended the same in Kona;		
6	g. identified and interviewed dozens of lay and expert witnesses;		
7	h. prepared and served multiple subpoenas to third parties and then worked		
8	with those third parties or their counsel to secure the production of responsive documents;		
9	i. helped to defend certain third parties from defendants' subpoenas;		
10	j. identified and worked with numerous consulting experts in preparation for		
11	mediation and litigation, on issues such as damages, marketing, consumer behavior, and		
12	accounting;		
13	k. developed numerous settlement proposals and negotiated extensively with		
14	Defendants, with the assistance of Judge Garcia and Judge Infante, as well as Mr. LeHocky; and		
15	1. helped prepare and finalize multiple settlement agreements as well as the		
16	motions for the Court's preliminary approval of same.		
17	13. The core team of personnel litigating this case for KTC consisted of the following		
18	attorneys.		
19	a. I am a shareholder at KTC where I am the chair of the intellectual property		
20	group. I graduated from the University of California's Berkeley law school with my JD in 2003.		
21	While attending law school I externed with Earthjustice and worked for Dakota Plains Legal		
22	Services on the Rosebud Reservation in South Dakota. I graduated Wesleyan University in		
23	Connecticut with honors. I am a member of the IP Inn of Court. For the past 18 years I have		
24	litigated complex intellectual property matters involving patents, trademarks, trade secrets and		
25	copyrights. I joined Karr Tuttle Campbell as a shareholder in 2016. I was the attorney who		
26	Karr Tuttle Campbeli		

originally developed the case and identified the potential claims the farmers might bring under the Lanham Act. I then brought my former partner Paul Richard Brown and current partner Mark Bailey into the fold, who were integral in the considerable amount of work that was performed in the pre-suit investigation. The pre-suit investigation included, but was not limited to, multiple trips to Kona to meet and interview potential witnesses, learn about all aspects of the Kona coffee industry, interviews of multiple consulting experts, retention of a scientific expert to conduct the testing of products with Kona on the label to determine the origin of the coffee, and an investigation into potential defendants and their products. I was the attorney who then took the information learned in our investigation and used it to draft the 65-page complaint naming 19 separate defendants at the time. Since the commencement of the suit, I have been involved in every aspect of the case including drafting briefs, legal research, drafting discovery requests, interacting with the class representatives on all matters, taking depositions, preparing the class representatives for their depositions and then defending them, negotiation and settlement with multiple defendants, and multiple mediations. For KTC, I have managed the litigation team of approximately 12 attorneys.

b. Paul Richard Brown is a former shareholder in KTC's litigation group, with over 31 years of trial experience. As lead trial counsel, Mr. Brown has handled patent, trademark, trade dress, false advertising, and other complex commercial matters. Mr. Brown has extensive experience in asset recovery and financial fraud matters, representing high net worth individuals and financial institutions. He has conducted more than 100 bench trials and numerous jury trials in various jurisdictions across the country. He is admitted to practice before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court of the United States. Mr. Brown has negotiated complex multimillion-dollar transactions for domestic and international high-technology businesses worldwide, including in foreign jurisdictions such as Hong Kong, Taiwan, Switzerland, the Peoples' Republic of China, Germany, Russia, Mongolia, Sweden, and the

DECLARATION OF NATHAN T. PAINE - 7

Case No. 2:19-cv-00290-RSL

KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, Washington 98104 Main: (206) 223 1313

Netherlands. He has been named "Super Lawyer" for the last seven years. Mr. Brown was the second lawyer to join this case and worked with me in tandem all aspects of the pre-suit investigation, during which he played a particularly critical role in the scientific testing. Since the suit was filed, Mr. Brown had been involved in all aspects of the litigation including motions practice, management of KTC's document reviewers, taking and defending depositions, vetting and working with selected experts, multiple farm site visits, extensive interaction with class representatives including document production and deposition preparation, mediation and settlement negotiations, with particular emphasis concerning financial issues. Although Mr. Brown is no longer working for KTC, Mr. Brown's primary responsibility had been to ensure that the case is ready for trial. I have taken over Mr. Brown's responsibilities since he has left KTC.

graduated with high honors from the University of Washington School of Law and served as the articles editor for the Washington Law Review. He spent two years as a law clerk at the Washington State Court of Appeals, Division I. Mr. Bailey has authored and edits the *Bankruptcy Practice* Chapter of the Washington Lawyer's Practice Manual, published by the King County Bar Association. Mr. Bailey joined Karr Tuttle Campbell in 2011, after working as an associate for Davis Wright Tremaine 1998 to 2002 and as a partner with Beresford Booth from 2002 to 2011. He concentrates his practice in the areas of commercial litigation, creditors' rights and asset recovery. Mr. Bailey was the third attorney to join this case, playing a key role in the pre-suit investigation. He helped to identify and interview potential consulting experts and helped with the initial legal research into complex issues that needed to be resolved before filing suit. Since the complaint was filed, Mr. Bailey has assisted in discovery matters and motions practice.

Main: (206) 223 1313

1	
2	KT
3	Не
4	Ass
5	ass
6	wit
7	rev
8	Но
	I

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- d. Daniel Hagen is an associate in KTC's litigation group. Mr. Hagen joined KTC in 2018 immediately after graduating from the University of Washington School of Law. He also serves on the board of CENTS, an organization affiliated with the King County Bar Association that promotes financial literacy and provides funding for financial education and assistance programs. Mr. Hagen was responsible for conducting legal research, corresponding with clients and opposing counsel, conducting numerous discovery conferences, drafting and revising motions and memoranda, and reviewing and responding to discovery. Along with Mr. Howard, Mr. Hagen was also responsible for reviewing, summarizing, and analyzing Defendants' discovery responses and document production.
- e. Joshua Howard is an associate in KTC's litigation department, joining the firm after graduating law school. He graduated *cum laude* from Seattle University School of Law in 2016. There he was an active member of the Alpha Sigma Nu Honor Society and helped found the Homeless Rights Advocacy Project. Mr. Howard obtained his B.A from the University of Washington. Mr. Howard was primarily responsible for of the day-to-day litigation (in partnership with our co-counsel at LCHB), including legal research, brief writing, conducting numerous discovery conferences, drafting memoranda, and assisting with deposition preparation. Along with Mr. Hagen, Mr. Howard was also responsible for reviewing, summarizing, and analyzing Defendants' discovery responses and document production.
- f. Other members of KTC's litigation team that Paul and I managed includes shareholders Tom Adams, Barbara Brady, Mitzi Vaughn, and Robert Radcliffe. The following KTC associates also had active roles in supporting the case: Joshua Rosenberg, Andrew Durland, Maria Hodgins, Nathaniel Strauss, and Lauren Arndorfer. The bios for each of these attorneys (excluding Mr. Strauss and Ms. Arndorfer, who have since left KTC) are available for review in KTC's attorney directory at www.karrtuttle.com. These attorneys had roles in all aspects of the case.

2526

II. KTC's Lodestar Cross-Check Submission

- 14. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct summary by individual of the hours, billing rate, and lodestar for each biller's work on this matter from its inception to December 15, 2022. During this period of time, KTC expended **14,553.60** hours on this matter, with work still continuing. This total does not include over 1000 hours of time that KTC wrote off in accordance with its standard billing practices to remove time entries that Mr. Brown and I determined were not reasonable for the associated task. Calculated at current rates and taking into consideration excluded time, for purposes of the cross-check, the lodestar invested in this case by KTC since the inception of this matter comes to **\$5,908,485.00**.
- 15. The rates set forth in **Exhibit A** are KTC's average billing rates since inception of this engagement to present, as KTC's rates change from year-to-year based upon market evaluations. Hence, the hourly rates charged by timekeepers are the firm's standard rates set by the firm's management based on the market rate in Seattle for attorneys of comparable experience. As one of Seattle's oldest law firms, the firm management maintains an excellent working knowledge of Seattle's legal market, which it uses to determine each attorney and staff person's hourly rate. Compared to other markets such as Los Angeles, San Francisco, or New York, the market rates in Seattle are on the lower end of the spectrum. All of the KTC attorneys and staff typically bill their clients on an hourly basis at these rates, which the clients agree to pay in the standard engagement. Unlike LCHB, KTC's attorneys principally are paid for their work on an hourly basis.
- 16. KTC's rate structure has been approved by courts in numerous jurisdictions, sometimes as a loadstar, others as a cross-check and finally has a hold-back/success fee.
- 17. KTC attorneys and staff enter their time contemporaneously. Firm policy requires timekeepers to keep their time on a daily basis through its timekeeping software. KTC's accounting department runs regular time reports, which were reviewed by Mr. Brown and I to

ensure accuracy and reasonableness. Through this process, Mr. Brown and I have collectively written off approximately 1000 hours of attorney and staff time.

18. KTC has devoted, and will continue to devote, its time and resources to prosecute the class action claims in this matter on a contingent-fee basis.

III. KTC's Expenses Submission

19. KTC has to date incurred \$1,432,465.84 in expenses in connection with the investigation, prosecution, and settlement of this case, as set forth in the table attached as **Exhibit B**, of which \$402,465.84 is unreimbursed. The expenses listed in **Exhibit B** are reflected in the books and records KTC maintains in the ordinary course of business, which books and records are prepared from invoices, expense vouchers and check records.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct. Executed this 20th day of December 2022, at Seattle, WA.

/s/ Nathan T. Paine Nathan T. Paine

Exhibit A

Attorney Summary ReportBilled and Unbilled through 12/15/22
Kona Coffee / Growers' Class Action (72448-1)

Attorney	Name	Description	Hours	Amount
1001	Adams, Thomas D.	Shareholder	104.50	66,586.00
1004	Bailey, Mark A.	Shareholder	889.30	377,176.00
1007	Brady, Barbara J.	Shareholder	801.50	325,730.00
1009	Brown, Paul R.	Shareholder	2,990.00	1,814,356.50
1013	Durland, Andrew W.	Associate	523.40	167,488.00
1040	Radcliffe, Robert A.	Shareholder	300.30	121,070.00
1048	Strauss, Nathaniel	Associate	44.70	12,292.50
1057	Paine, Nathan	Shareholder	2,637.40	1,342,734.50
1059	Howard, Joshua M.	Associate	1,179.90	347,245.50
1060	Arndorfer, Lauren	Associate	104.00	33,800.00
1063	Hagen, Daniel	Associate	1,289.40	348,683.00
1070	Vaughn, Mitzi	Shareholder	538.30	269,150.00
1072	Hodgins, Maria Y.	Associate	162.40	43,036.00
1075	Rosenberg, Joshua R.	Associate	42.00	11,060.25
2036	Oppie, Pamela	Paralegal	56.90	15,647.50
2039	Stanton, Amy	Paralegal	180.40	49,610.00
2040	Dickie, Christopher	Paralegal	1,573.10	394,114.25
3012	Smith, Jessica	Legal Assistant	54.20	10,970.00
3022	Fagan, Morgan	Paralegal	887.20	126,819.00
5009	Rosenberg, Joshua R.M.	Law Clerk	99.30	16,200.00
5011	Virk, Ruby	Law Clerk	41.60	6,646.00
5013	Sturgeon, Luke	Law Clerk	53.80	8,070.00
			14,553.60	5,908,485.00

Exhibit B

Service Code Summary Report - Through 12/15/22

Billed and Unbilled

Kona Coffee / Growers' Class Action (72448-1)

Description	Amount
Miscellaneous Costs	96,218.33
Outside Printing	42,359.29
Online Research	898.08
Delivery Services/Messengers	10,446.11
Out-of-town travel	84,859.77
Meals	14,137.72
Court Fees	1,630.64
Deposition Transcripts	61,146.65
Trial Transcripts	2,570.65
Litigation Support Vendors	96,477.08
Experts/Investigators/Other Professionals	932,229.36
Local Counsel	36,620.44
Copies	2,524.72
On-Line Research Recovery	46,799.33
Color Copies & Prints	1,150.50
Postage	81.45
Facsimile	15.00
Document Scanning	11.20
Copy Center	2,289.52
Previous Reimbursements	(\$1,030,000.00)
Net Total	\$ 402,465.84